

- BOULOT, G., ROJAS, C., BENTLEY, G. A., POLJAK, R. J., BARBIER, E., LE GUERN, C. & CAZENAVE, P. A. (1987). *J. Mol. Biol.* **194**, 577-578.
- BRÜNGER, A. T. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 46-57.
- BRÜNGER, A. T., LEAHY, D. J., HYNES, T. R. & FOX, R. O. (1991). *J. Mol. Biol.* **221**, 239-256.
- COLMAN, P. M., DEISENHOFER, J., HUBER, R. & PALM, W. (1976). *J. Mol. Biol.* **100**, 257-282.
- COLMAN, P. M., FEHLHAMMER, H. & BARTELS, K. (1976). In *Crystallographic Computing Techniques*, edited by F. R. AHMED, K. HUML & B. SEDLÁČEK, pp. 248-258. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- CROWTHER, R. A. (1972). In *The Molecular Replacement Method*, edited by M. G. ROSSMANN, pp. 173-178. New York: Gordon & Breach.
- CROWTHER, R. A. & BLOW, D. M. (1967). *Acta Cryst.* **23**, 544-548.
- CYGLER, M. & ANDERSON, W. F. (1988a). *Acta Cryst.* **A44**, 38-45.
- CYGLER, M. & ANDERSON, W. F. (1988b). *Acta Cryst.* **A44**, 300-308.
- CYGLER, M. & DESROCHERS, M. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* **A45**, 563-572.
- DOESBURG, H. M. & BEURSKENS, P. T. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 368-376.
- DRIESSEN, H. P. C., BAX, B., SLINGSBY, C., LINDLEY, P. F., MAHADEVAN, D., MOSS, D. S. & TICKLE, I. J. (1991). *Acta Cryst.* **B47**, 987-997.
- FISCHMANN, T. O., BENTLEY, G. A., BHAT, T. N., BOULOT, G., MARIUZZA, R. A., PHILLIPS, S. E. V., TELLO, D. & POLJAK, R. J. (1991). *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 12915-12920.
- FUJINAGA, M. & READ, R. J. (1987). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **20**, 517-521.
- HARADA, Y., LIFCHITZ, A., BERTHOU, J. & JOLLES, P. (1981). *Acta Cryst.* **A37**, 398-406.
- HUBER, R. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **19**, 353-356.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974). Vol. I. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KARTHA, G. & PARTHASARATHY, R. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **18**, 749-753.
- LASCOMBE, M. B., ALZARI, M., BOULOT, G., SALUDJIAN, P., TOUGARD, P., BEREK, C., HABA, S., ROSEN, E. M., NICONHOF, A. & POLJAK, R. J. (1989). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **86**, 607-611.
- NAVAZA, J. (1987). *Acta Cryst.* **A43**, 645-653.
- READ, R. J. (1986). *Acta Cryst.* **A42**, 140-149.
- READ, R. J. & SHIERBEEK, A. J. (1988). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **21**, 490-495.
- ROSSMANN, M. G. (1960). *Acta Cryst.* **13**, 221-226.
- ROSSMANN, M. G. & BLOW, D. M. (1962). *Acta Cryst.* **15**, 24-31.
- SCHIERBEEK, A. J., SWARTE, M. B. A., DIJKSTRA, B. W., VRIEND, G., READ, R. J., HOL, W. G. J. & DRENTH, J. (1989). *J. Mol. Biol.* **206**, 365-379.
- SERC Daresbury Laboratory (1986). *CCP4. A Suite of Programs for Protein Crystallography*. SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, England.
- TAYLOR, C. A. & MORLEY, K. A. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* **12**, 101-105.
- TICKLE, I. J. (1985). In *Molecular Replacement. Proceedings of the Daresbury Study Weekend, 15-16 February 1985*, edited by P. A. MACHIN, pp. 22-26.
- YEATES, T. O. & RINI, J. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 352-359.

*Acta Cryst.* (1992). **A48**, 322-328

## $(p2, 2')$ -Symmetry Three-Dimensional Space Groups $G_3^{l,p^2}$

BY S. V. JABLAN

*The Mathematical Institute, Knez Mihajlova 35, Belgrade, Yugoslavia*

(Received 29 April 1991; accepted 15 November 1991)

### Abstract

By use of the antisymmetric characteristic method,  $(p2, 2')$ -symmetry three-dimensional space groups  $G_3^{l,p^2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) are derived.

### Introduction

Crystallographic  $(p2)$ -symmetry three-dimensional space groups  $G_3^{p^2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) were derived by Karpova (1980a, 1980b), Chubarova (1983) and Zamorzaev, Karpova, Lungu & Palistrant (1986). From 73 symmorphic space groups  $G_3$  were derived 1025 junior  $G_3^{p^2}$  ( $96 G_3^{3^2} + 438 G_3^{4^2} + 491 G_3^{6^2}$ ), from 54 hemisymmorphic  $G_3$ , 945 junior  $G_3^{p^2}$  ( $75 G_3^{3^2} + 444 G_3^{4^2} + 426 G_3^{6^2}$ ) were derived and from 103 asymmetric  $G_3$ , 1650 junior  $G_3^{p^2}$  ( $138 G_3^{3^2} + 785 G_3^{4^2} + 727 G_3^{6^2}$ ) were derived. Thus the category  $G_3^{p^2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) consists of 3620 junior groups ( $309 G_3^{3^2} + 1667 G_3^{4^2} + 1644 G_3^{6^2}$ ). By the use of the generalized antisymmetric characteristic method (AC method) introduced by

the author (Jablan, 1990, 1991), some of these results will be corrected and all the crystallographic space groups of colour multiple antisymmetry  $G_3^{l,p^2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) will be derived.

### 1. Some general remarks on $(p2)$ and $(p2, 2')$ symmetry

$(p2)$  symmetry is a particular case of general  $P$  symmetry with  $P = D_p$ , where  $D_p$  is the irregular dihedral permutation group, generated by the permutations  $e_1 = 1, \dots, p$  and  $e_2 = (2p), \dots, [(p+1)/2]p - [(p+1)/2] + 2$  ( $p \geq 3$ ), satisfying the relations

$$e_1^p = e_2^2 = (e_1 e_2)^2 = E.$$

For  $p = 4q + 2$  ( $q \in N$ ), the group  $D_p$  is reducible, so the relationship

$$D_{4q+2} \cong \{e_1^2, e_2\} \times \{e_1^{2q+1}\} = D_{2q+1} \times C_2$$

holds, where  $\{e_1^2, e_2\}$  and  $\{e_1^{2q+1}\}$  denote, respectively,

the groups  $D_{2q+1}$  and  $C_2$  generated by  $e_1^2$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_1^{2q+1}$  (Zamorzaev, Galyarskij & Palistrant, 1978; Zamorzaev, Karpova, Lungu & Palistrant, 1986).

By introducing  $l$  anti-identity transformations  $e_3, \dots, e_{l+2}$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ) (Zamorzaev, 1976; Zamorzaev & Palistrant, 1980) commuting between themselves and with  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , we have  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry, which is the group  $P = D_p \times C_2^l$ . At  $p = 4q + 2$ , because of the reducibility of the group  $D_{4q+2}$ , we have the relationship  $D_{2q+1} \times C_2^l = D_{4q+2} \times C_2^{l-1}$ , indicating the equivalence of  $[(2q+1)2, 2^l]$  and  $[(4q+2)2, 2^{l-1}]$  symmetry.

**Definition 1:** (a) A  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry group is called the  $M^m$ -type  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry group if it is an  $M^m$ -type group regarded as an  $l$ -multiple antisymmetry group.

(b) A  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry group  $G^{l,p2}$  has complete  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry if, for every  $i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l+2$ ), the  $e_i$  transformation can be obtained in the group  $G^{l,p2}$  as an independent  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry transformation. Otherwise, the group  $G^{l,p2}$  has incomplete  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry.

(c) A complete  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry group  $G^{l,p2}$  is a junior  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry group if all the relations given in the presentation of its generating symmetry group  $G$  remain satisfied after replacing the generators of the group  $G$  by the corresponding  $(p2, 2^l)$ -symmetry-group generators.

In this work only the junior  $M^m$ -type  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry groups will be considered. Because of the aforementioned relation between  $[(2q+1)2, 2^l]$  and  $[(4q+2)2, 2^{l-1}]$  symmetry groups, to derive all crystallographic  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry groups ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ), (32) and (42) symmetry groups will be sufficient. The derivation will be realized by use of the generalized AC method.

**Definition 2:** Let all the products of  $(p2)$  symmetry generators of a group  $G^{p2}$ , within which each generator participates at most once, be formed and then subsets of transformations equivalent with regard to  $(p2)$  symmetry be separated. The resulting system is called the antisymmetric characteristic of the group  $G^{p2}$  and denoted by  $AC(G^{p2})$  (Jablan, 1990, 1991).

In every transition from the antisymmetric characteristic  $AC(G)$  of a generating group  $G$  to the antisymmetric characteristic  $AC(G^{p2})$  of a  $(p2)$  symmetry group  $G^{p2}$  derived from it, at  $p = 2q + 1$  every  $e_1$  transformation has no influence on the existential conditions for the junior  $M^m$  type  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry groups (definition 1) and does not produce any change of the  $AC(G)$ ; its possible change can be produced only by  $e_2$  transformation. Hence, we can conclude that the derivation of  $[(2q+1)2, 2^l]$  symmetry groups from a  $[(2q+1), 2]$  symmetry group coincides with the derivation of  $(l+1)$ -multiple antisymmetry  $M^m$ -type groups ( $2 \leq m \leq l+1$ ) from its corresponding  $e_2$ -antisymmetry group. Owing to the equivalence of  $[(2q+1)2, 2^l]$  and  $[(4q+2)2, 2^{l-1}]$

symmetry, the only non-trivial problem is the derivation of  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry groups at  $p = 0 \pmod{4}$  (Jablan, 1991).

At  $p = 0 \pmod{2}$  the problem of differentiating between complete and incomplete junior  $M^m$ -type  $(p2, 2^l)$  symmetry groups can be simply solved by the use of the homomorphism of the subgroup  $C_p = \{e_1\}$  of the group  $D_p$  to the group  $C_2$ :

$$e_1^{2k-1} \rightarrow e_1, \quad e_1^{2k} \rightarrow E \quad [1 \leq k \leq (p+1)/2].$$

## 2. $(p2, 2^l)$ -symmetry three-dimensional space groups $G_3^{l,p2}$ ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ )

The application of the theoretical assumptions given above will be illustrated by examples of junior  $(p2, 2^l)$ -symmetry three-dimensional space groups of  $M^m$  type ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) derived in the family with the common generating symmetry group  $G = 7s (P2/m)$ ,  $\{a, b, c\}(2:m)$  with AC  $\{m, cm\}\{2, 2a, 2b, 2ab\}$  belonging to the AC equivalency class VII [Jablan, 1987, Table 1]. At  $p = 3$  we have two junior (32) symmetry groups (Karpova, 1980a):

- (1)  $\{a, b, c\}(2:m^2)$ ;
- (2)  $\{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:m)$ .

Because of the  $e_2$  transformation  $m^2$ , the AC of the first group is of the form  $\{e_2, e_2\}\{E, E, E, E\}$  and the AC of the second is of the form  $\{E, E\}\{e_2, e_2, e_2, e_2\}$ . Hence, for both of them,  $N_1 = 7$ ,  $N_2 = 64$ ,  $N_3 = 700$ ,  $N_4 = 6720$  (Jablan, 1987). So we have the following junior (32, 2) symmetry groups:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{a, b, c\}(2:m^2), & \{a, b, \underline{c}\}(2:m^2), \\ \{a, b, c\}(2:m^2), & \{a, b, \underline{c}\}(2:m^2), \\ \{a, b, c\}(2:\underline{m}^2), & \{a, b, \underline{c}\}(2:m^2), \\ \{a, b, c\}(2:\underline{m}^2), & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:m), \\ \{a^{(3)}, b, \underline{c}\}(2^2:m), & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:\underline{m}), \\ \{a^{(3)}, b, \underline{c}\}(2^2:m), & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:\underline{m}), \\ \{a^{(3)}, b, \underline{c}\}(2^2:m) & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:\underline{m}), \end{array}$$

where the antisymmetries are underlined.

Because of the equivalence between (32, 2) symmetry and (62) symmetry, to the 14 junior (32, 2) symmetry groups obtained correspond the 14 (62) symmetry junior groups:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{a^{(2)}, b, c\}(2:m^2), & \{a, b, c^{(6)}\}(2:m^2), \\ \{a, b, c^{(3)}\}(2^2:m^2), & \{a^{(2)}, b, c^{(6)}\}(2:m^2), \\ \{a^{(2)}, b, c^{(3)}\}(2:m^{22}), & \{a, b, c^{(6)}\}(2^2:m^2), \\ \{a, b, c^{(3)}\}(2^2:m^{22}), & \{a^{(6)}, b, c\}(2^2:m), \\ \{a^{(3)}, b, c^{(2)}\}(2^2:m), & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^2:m^{(2)}), \\ \{a^{(6)}, b, c^{(2)}\}(2^2:m), & \{a^{(6)}, b, c\}(2^2:m^{(2)}), \\ \{a^{(3)}, b, c^{(2)}\}(2^{22}:m) & \{a^{(3)}, b, c\}(2^{22}:m^{(2)}). \end{array}$$



Table 1 (cont.)

	(32, 2)	(42, 2)	(62, 2)	(32, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	
53s	8	8	24	24			
54s	20	8	60	60			
55s	12	8	36	36			
56s	16	8	48	48			
57s	8	8	24	24			
58s	30	48	288	288	384	2016	
65s	2						
66s	2						
68s	1						
69s	2						
71s	4						
72s	6	16	24	24			
73s	2						
	(32, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(32, 2 <sup>4</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>4</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>4</sup> )	(32, 2 <sup>5</sup> )
2s	1						
3s	56						
5s	112						
7s	1400	5376	13440	13440			
8s	672						
9s	1516	5376	20160	20160			
10s	1680						
12s	336						
13s	5712	32256	80640	80640			
14s	1344						
15s	2688						
17s	1344						
18s	17220	137088	685440	685440	3870720	19998720	19998720
19s	16464	112896	241920	241920			
20s	672						
21s	10080	129024	161280	161280			
28s	336						
30s	336						
32s	336						
33s	336						
36s	5712	129024	80640	80640			
37s	1344						
58s	2016						

Table 2 (cont.)

	(32, 2)	(42, 2)	(62, 2)	(32, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>2</sup> )
10h	6	24	24	24		
11h	20	112	192	192	768	1344
12h	12	64	48	48		
13h	12	40	48	48		
14h	12	24	48	48		
15h	6	20	24	24		
16h	2					
17h	26	184	456	456	3168	8568
18h	17	90	150	150	528	1008
19h	5	18	24	24	36	84
20h	24	208	264	264	1440	2016
21h	36	416	768	768	8160	16128
22h	22	240	252	252	1920	2016
23h	24	176	264	264	1248	2016
24h	4	8	12	12		
25h		24				
26h		32				
28h		40				
29h	4	28	12	12		
30h	4	40	12	12		
31h	4	32	12	12		
32h	4	20	12	12		
33h	3	8	6	6		
34h	4	32	12	12		
35h	10	208	96	96	1440	672
36h	10	232	96	96	1536	672
37h	6	80	24	24		
38h	14	336	168	168	2688	1344
42h	4					
43h	6					
44h	4					
45h	6					
46h	8					
47h	4					
48h	18	16	72	72		
53h	2					
54h	2					
	(32, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(32, 2 <sup>4</sup> )		
3h	336					
5h	336					
6h	1344					
11h	1344					
17h	8568	43008	120960	120960		
18h	1008					
19h	84					
20h	2016					
21h	16128	118272	241920	241920		
22h	2016					
23h	2016					
35h	672					
36h	672					
38h	1344					

Table 2. Catalogue of junior  $M^m$ -type  $(p2, 2^l)$ -symmetry hemisymorphic three-dimensional space groups  $G_3^{l,p2}$

	(32)	(42)	(62)	(32)	(42)	(62)	(32)	(42)	(62)		
1h	1	2	3	19h	1	6	5	37h	1	20	6
2h	1	4	1	20h	2	22	24	38h	1	28	14
3h	2	9	12	21h	2	22	36	39h	2		
4h	2	10	8	22h	2	24	22	40h	1		
5h	1	3	7	23h	2	18	24	41h	1		
6h	2	10	20	24h	1	4	4	42h	2	2	4
7h	2	11	8	25h		8		43h	3	2	6
8h	1	4	3	26h		8		44h	2		4
9h	1	4	6	27h		6		45h	3	2	6
10h	1	8	6	28h		10		46h	4	2	8
11h	2	12	20	29h	1	11	4	47h	2	2	4
12h	2	16	12	30h	1	14	4	48h	3	4	18
13h	2	10	12	31h	1	12	4	51h	1		
14h	2	6	12	32h	1	8	4	52h	1		
15h	1	6	6	33h	1	8	3	53h	1		2
16h	1	2	2	34h	1	10	4	54h	1		2
17h	2	14	26	35h	1	22	10				
18h	2	12	17	36h	1	26	10				
	(32, 2)	(42, 2)	(62, 2)	(32, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>2</sup> )					
1h	3	8	6	6							
2h	1										
3h	12	58	72	72	264	336					
4h	8	36	24	24							
5h	7	24	54	54	144	336					
6h	20	96	192	192	672	1344					
7h	8	28	24	24							
8h	3	4	6	6							
9h	6	16	24	24							

From the results of the derivation of the junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry symorphic groups, we can first conclude that, because of the equivalence between  $(32, 2)$  and  $(62)$  symmetry, there exist 496 (not 491) (Karpova 1980a; Chubarova, 1983; Zamorzaev, Karpova, Lungu & Palistrant, 1986) junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry symorphic three-dimensional space groups and, consequently, the same number of symorphic  $(62)$  symmetry groups. This difference from the works mentioned implies that corrections are necessary for the  $(62)$  symmetry groups in the families with the generating groups  $10s$  ( $C222$ ) and  $32s$  ( $P42m$ ). For the first family, the correct number of  $(62)$  symmetry groups is 22 (not 18) and for the second it is 8 (not 7) (Karpova, 1980a).

Table 3. *Catalogue of junior M<sup>m</sup>-type (p2, 2<sup>l</sup>)-symmetry asymmorphic three-dimensional space groups G<sub>3</sub><sup>(l,p2)</sup>*

	(32)	(42)	(62)	(32)	(42)	(62)	(32)	(42)	(62)	
1a	1	1	1	34a	4		67a	1	20	6
2a	2	6	8	35a	4		70a	1	1	1
3a	2	7	6	36a	14		71a	1	1	1
4a	2	9	11	37a	12		72a	2	1	2
5a	2	10	10	38a	8		73a	2	1	2
6a	1	4	4	39a	6		74a	1		
7a	2	6	9	40a	1	4	75a	1		
8a	1	2	1	41a	1	14	76a	1		
9a	2	6	14	42a	1	8	77a	1		
10a	2	10	8	43a	1	12	78a	1		
11a	2	6	8	44a	1	8	79a	2		4
12a	2	6	4	45a	1	8	80a	2		4
13a	2	10	12	46a	1	10	81a	3	2	6
14a	3	24	48	47a	1	14	82a	2	2	4
15a	3	22	30	48a	1	4	83a	2	2	4
16a	3	16	30	49a	1	4	84a	2	2	8
17a	3	18	18	50a	1	8	85a	2	2	8
18a	3	28	42	51a	1	4	86a	5	2	10
19a	3	22	42	52a	1	10	87a	3	4	18
20a	2	10	22	53a	1	6	88a	3	4	18
21a	1	4	10	54a	1	16	93a	1		
22a	2	9	17	55a	1	22	94a	1		
23a	3	18	30	56a	1	14	95a	1		
24a	2	15	17	57a	1	16	96a	1		2
25a	2	12	10	58a	1	12	97a	1		
26a	3	14	18	59a	1	12	98a	1		1
27a	2	10	10	60a	1	30	99a	1		2
28a	3	14	18	61a	1	28	100a	1		2
29a	1	4	6	62a	1	20	101a	1		2
30a		2		63a	1	20	102a	1		2
31a		2		64a	1	20	103a	1		4
32a		4		65a	1	20				
33a		4		66a	1	14				

	(32, 2)	(42, 2)	(62, 1)	(32, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>2</sup> )
1a	1	1	1	1		
2a	8	20	32	32	64	112
3a	6	10	12	12		
4a	11	54	81	81	288	504
5a	10	36	36	36		
6a	4	12	12	12		
7a	9	18	30	30		
8a	1					
9a	14	48	108	108	288	672
10a	8	24	24	24		
11a	8	16	24	24		
12a	4					
13a	12	40	48	48		
14a	48	408	900	900	7200	17136
15a	30	192	288	288	1248	2016
16a	30	144	288	288	960	2016
17a	18	72	72	72		
18a	42	336	504	504	2688	4032
19a	42	264	504	504	2112	4032
20a	22	120	252	252	960	2016
21a	10	48	96	96	384	672
22a	17	72	150	150	432	1008
23a	30	160	288	288	1056	2016
24a	17	108	150	150	624	1008
25a	10	36	36	36		
26a	18	56	72	72		
27a	10	28	36	36		
28a	18	56	72	72		
29a	6	16	24	24		
33a		16				
36a		40				
37a		32				
38a		16				

Table 3 (cont.)

	(32, 2)	(42, 2)	(62, 1)	(32, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>2</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>2</sup> )
40a	6	16	24	24		
41a	4	56	12	12		
42a	3	8	6	6		
43a	4	32	12	12		
44a	4	24	12	12		
45a	4	24	12	12		
46a	6	40	24	24		
47a	7	88	54	54	480	336
48a	2					
49a	2					
50a	4	16	12	12		
51a	2					
52a	4	24	12	12		
53a	2					
54a	10	144	96	96	960	672
55a	10	192	96	96	1248	672
56a	6	56	24	24		
57a	6	64	24	24		
58a	6	48	24	24		
59a	6	48	24	24		
60a	10	288	96	96	2016	672
61a	10	256	96	96	1728	672
62a	8	120	60	60	480	336
63a	6	80	24	24		
64a	6	80	24	24		
65a	6	80	24	24		
66a	6	56	24	24		
67a	6	80	24	24		
70a	1					
71a	1					
72a	2					
73a	2					
79a	4					
80a	4					
81a	6					
82a	4					
83a	4					
84a	8	8	24	24		
85a	8	8	24	24		
86a	10					
87a	18	16	72	72		
88a	18	16	72	72		
96a	2					
98a	1					
99a	2					
100a	2					
101a	2					
102a	2					
103a	4					
			12	12		
	(32, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(42, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(62, 2 <sup>3</sup> )	(32, 2 <sup>4</sup> )		
2a	112					
4a	504					
9a	672					
14a	17136	96768	241920	241920		
15a	2016					
16a	2016					
18a	4032					
19a	4032					
20a	2016					
21a	672					
22a	1008					
23a	2016					
24a	1008					
47a	336					
54a	672					
55a	672					
60a	672					
61a	672					
62a	336					

For the junior  $(p2, 2^1)$ -symmetry symmmorphic three-dimensional space groups of  $M^m$  type, the numbers  $N_m^{p2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_0^{p2} &= 96 G_3^{32} + 438 G_3^{42} + 496 G_3^{62} = 1030; \\ N_1^{p2} &= 496 G_3^{1,32} + 3876 G_3^{1,42} + 4709 G_3^{1,62} = 9081; \\ N_2^{p2} &= 4709 G_3^{2,32} + 45\,053 G_3^{2,42} + 71\,713 G_3^{2,62} \\ &= 121\,475; \\ N_3^{p2} &= 71\,713 G_3^{3,32} + 551\,040 G_3^{3,42} + 1\,283\,520 G_3^{3,62} \\ &= 1\,906\,273; \\ N_4^{p2} &= 1\,283\,520 G_3^{4,32} + 3\,870\,720 G_3^{4,42} \\ &\quad + 19\,998\,720 G_3^{4,62} = 25\,152\,960; \\ N_5^{p2} &= 19\,998\,720 G_3^{5,32} = 19\,998\,720. \end{aligned}$$

From the results of the derivation of the junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry hemisymmorphic groups we may first conclude that, because of the equivalence between  $(32, 2)$  and  $(62)$  symmetry, there exist 413 (not 426) (Karpova, 1980b; Chubarova, 1983; Zamorzaev, Karpova, Lungu & Palistrant, 1986) junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry hemisymmorphic three-dimensional space groups and, consequently, the same number of hemisymmorphic  $(62)$  symmetry groups. This difference from published results implies that corrections are also necessary for the  $(62)$  symmetry groups in the families with the generating groups  $1h$  ( $Pb$ ),  $2h$  ( $Bb$ ),  $3h$  ( $P2/b$ ),  $4h$  ( $B2/b$ ),  $21h$  ( $Cmma$ ),  $22h$  ( $Ccca$ ) and  $53h$  ( $Pn3n$ ). For these families, the correct number of  $(62)$  symmetry groups is, respectively, 3 (not 4), 1 (not 2), 12 (not 13), 8 (not 10), 36 (not 38), 22 (not 24) and 2 (not 6) (Karpova, 1980b).

For the junior  $(p2, 2^1)$ -symmetry hemisymmorphic three-dimensional space groups of  $M^m$  type the numbers  $N_m^{p2}$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_0^{p2} &= 75 G_3^{32} + 444 G_3^{42} + 413 G_3^{62} = 932; \\ N_1^{p2} &= 413 G_3^{1,32} + 3022 G_3^{1,42} + 3498 G_3^{1,62} = 6933; \\ N_2^{p2} &= 3498 G_3^{2,32} + 24\,012 G_3^{2,42} + 37\,884 G_3^{2,62} \\ &= 65\,394; \\ N_3^{p2} &= 37\,884 G_3^{3,32} + 161\,280 G_3^{3,42} + 362\,880 G_3^{3,62} \\ &= 562\,044; \\ N_4^{p2} &= 362\,880 G_3^{4,32} = 362\,880. \end{aligned}$$

From the results of the derivation of the junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry asymmmorphic groups, we may first conclude that, because of the equivalence between  $(32, 2)$  and  $(62)$  symmetry, there exist 725 (not 727) (Karpova, 1980b; Chubarova, 1983; Zamorzaev, Karpova, Lungu & Palistrant, 1986) junior  $(32, 2)$ -symmetry asymmmorphic three-dimensional space

groups and, consequently, the same number of asymmmorphic  $(62)$  symmetry groups.

For the junior  $(p2, 2^1)$ -symmetry asymmmorphic three-dimensional groups of  $M^m$  type, the numbers  $N_m^{p2}$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_0^{p2} &= 138 G_3^{32} + 785 G_3^{42} + 725 G_3^{62} = 1648; \\ N_1^{p2} &= 725 G_3^{1,32} + 4467 G_3^{1,42} + 5184 G_3^{1,62} = 10\,376; \\ N_2^{p2} &= 5184 G_3^{2,32} + 25\,216 G_3^{2,42} + 40\,600 G_3^{2,62} \\ &= 71\,000; \\ N_3^{p2} &= 40\,600 G_3^{3,32} + 96\,768 G_3^{3,42} + 241\,920 G_3^{3,62} \\ &= 379\,288; \\ N_4^{p2} &= 241\,920 G_3^{4,32} = 241\,920. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Concluding remarks

For the junior  $(p2, 2^1)$ -symmetry three-dimensional space groups of the  $M^m$  type, the numbers  $N_m(G_3^{p2})$  ( $p = 3, 4, 6$ ) are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(G_3^{p2}) &= 309 G_3^{32} + 1667 G_3^{42} + 1634 G_3^{62} = 3610; \\ N_1(G_3^{p2}) &= 1634 G_3^{1,32} + 11\,365 G_3^{1,42} + 13\,391 G_3^{1,62} \\ &= 26\,390 \\ N_2(G_3^{p2}) &= 13\,391 G_3^{2,32} + 94\,281 G_3^{2,42} + 150\,197 G_3^{2,62} \\ &= 257\,869; \\ N_3(G_3^{p2}) &= 150\,197 G_3^{3,32} + 809\,088 G_3^{3,42} \\ &\quad + 1\,888\,320 G_3^{3,62} = 2\,847\,605; \\ N_4(G_3^{p2}) &= 1\,888\,320 G_3^{4,32} + 3\,870\,720 G_3^{4,42} \\ &\quad + 19\,998\,720 G_3^{4,62} = 25\,757\,760; \\ N_5(G_3^{p2}) &= 19\,998\,720 G_3^{5,32} = 19\,998\,720. \end{aligned}$$

The possible physical applications of the groups derived are given in the work by Koptsik (1988).

### References

- CHUBAROVA, YU. S. (1983). *Derivation of Junior Space Groups of P Symmetry*. Kishinev: Shtiintsa.  
*International Tables for Crystallography* (1987). Vol. A. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.  
 JABLAN, S. V. (1987). *Acta Cryst.* **A43**, 326-337.  
 JABLAN, S. V. (1990). *Publ. Inst. Math.* **47** (61), 39-55.  
 JABLAN, S. V. (1991). *Mat. Vesn.* In the press.  
 KARPOVA, YU. S. (1980a). *Derivation of Symmmorphic Junior Space Groups of (p2) Symmetry*. Kishinev Univ. Press. (Deposited in VINITI, No. 855-80 Dep.)  
 KARPOVA, YU. S. (1980b). *Derivation of Hemisymmorphic and Asymmorphic Junior Space Groups of (p2) Symmetry*. Kishinev Univ. Press. (Deposited in VINITI, No. 3173-80 Dep.)  
 KOPTSIK, V. A. (1966). *Shubnikov groups*. Moscow Univ. Press. In Russian.  
 KOPTSIK, V. A. (1988). *Comput. Math. Appl.* **16**, 407-424.

ZAMORZAEV, A. M. (1976). *The Theory of Simple and Multiple Antisymmetry*. Kishinev: Shtiintsa.

ZAMORZAEV, A. M., GALYARSKIJ, E. I. & PALISTRANT, A. F. (1978). *Colored Symmetry, its Generalizations and Applications*. Kishinev: Shtiintsa.

ZAMORZAEV, A. M., KARPOVA, YU. S., LUNGU, A. P. & PALISTRANT, A. F. (1986). *P Symmetry and its Further Development*. Kishinev: Shtiintsa.

ZAMORZAEV, A. M. & PALISTRANT, A. F. (1980). *Z. Kristallogr.* **151**, 231–248.

*Acta Cryst.* (1992). **A48**, 328–335

## A Pentagonal Quasiperiodic Tiling with Fractal Acceptance Domain

BY E. ZOBETZ

*Institut für Mineralogie, Kristallographie und Strukturchemie, Technische Universität Wien, Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria*

(Received 31 May 1991; accepted 22 November 1991)

### Abstract

The structural properties of a pentagonal quasiperiodic tiling obtained by new deflation and matching rules are described. Two new types of vertices occur that cannot be found in generalized Penrose patterns. Tile and vertex frequencies as well as average edge valencies of vertices are enumerated. The geometry underlying the acceptance domain involves self-similar fractals. Two methods of constructing the fractally shaped acceptance domain have been found.

### 1. Introduction

Considerable progress has been made on quasiperiodic structures during the last few years. Most of the work was focused on original (Penrose, 1974, 1979; Gardner, 1977; de Bruijn, 1981; Mackay, 1982; Levine & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar & Steinhardt, 1986; Henley, 1986; Jarić, 1986; Kumar, Sahoo & Athithan, 1986; Socolar, 1989) and generalized Penrose tilings (Duneau & Katz, 1985; Pavlovitch & Kléman, 1987; Ishihara & Yamamoto, 1988; Whittaker & Whittaker, 1988; Zobetz & Preisinger, 1990). This is not surprising since there exists a large number of tools with which to analyze them: matching and deflation rules (Penrose, 1974; Gardner, 1977; de Bruijn, 1981; Levine & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar, 1989), grid construction methods (de Bruijn, 1981; Gähler & Rhyner, 1986; Levine & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar & Steinhardt, 1986; Korepin, Gähler & Rhyner, 1988; Socolar, 1989) and strip-projection methods (de Bruijn, 1981; Kramer, 1982; Kramer & Neri, 1984; Duneau & Katz, 1985; Elser, 1986; Ostlund & Wright, 1986; Jarić, 1986; Kramer & Zeidler, 1989; Socolar, 1989).

Although one has to keep in mind that for any given orientational symmetry it is possible to construct an infinite number of quasiperiodic tilings that

can be grouped into LI\* classes (Levine & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar & Steinhardt, 1986; Socolar, 1989), comparatively little attention has been paid to other pentagonal tilings (Gähler & Rhyner, 1986; Socolar & Steinhardt, 1986; Olami & Kléman, 1989) than original and generalized Penrose tilings. There is no reason to exclude *a priori* other tilings. Up to now we have no reason to suggest that properties of original Penrose tilings, such as matching rules, which force nonperiodicity, have direct physical significance, in the sense that the growth probability at a given site depends only on its local neighbourhood, since only growth algorithms with short-range interactions are of physical interest (Levitov, 1988; Onada, Steinhardt, DiVincenzo & Socolar, 1988, 1989; Jarić & Ronchetti, 1989; Socolar, 1990; Olami, 1991; Ingersent & Steinhardt, 1991). However, there are few proven results in this relatively new field of investigation. Hence, one is led to the conclusion that this key problem is by no means completely settled. Socolar (1989, p. 10548) stated: 'It is worth emphasizing again that the PLI† class tilings discussed in this paper, though they play a special role in the analysis of the symmetries of interest here, are not necessarily privileged candidates for modeling physical structures. It is possible that a different LI class would be required for the description of a given physical material.' Therefore tilings that have the same orientational symmetry and tile shapes as Penrose tilings, but clearly have very different local and global configurations of tiles, may also be of interest and relevance to the study of quasicrystalline materials. Baake, Schlottmann & Jarvis (1991) introduced the new equivalence concept of mutual local derivability. They prove that under special conditions a tiling can

\* Two tilings are locally isomorphic and belong to the same local isomorphism (LI) class if any finite region that occurs in one also occurs in the other.

† PLI denotes the LI class of the original Penrose tilings.